

Fig. 1



Fig. 2

# 1. The VO And Why It Matters

(cf. Fig. 1)

Markus Demleitner  
 msdemlei@ari.uni-heidelberg.de

(cf. Fig. 2)

- What is the VO?
- The VO's answers
- What can you do?
- Publish data or let it perish
- Hands-on: Four flavours of VO



Fig. 3




Fig. 4

# 2. What's the VO?

The Virtual Observatory is **not**...

(cf. Fig. 3)

... a platform or web page – as that would restrict what you can do essentially to what the platform operator thought you should be able to do. There's nothing wrong with having VO clients run in a web page, though.

(cf. Fig. 4)

... a programme – you *use* programmes to access the VO, but the programmes typically do other things (process tables, work with images, analyse spectra...)




Fig. 5

(cf. Fig. 5)

... a bizarre contraption – you can do a lot of exciting research with the VO, and these days it becomes harder and harder to do astronomical research without the VO (though you may not notice it).

### 3. What's the VO?

The Virtual Observatory (VO) is (or will be), a **comprehensive set of data and services** relevant to **astronomy** accessible from **clients of your choice** **regardless of where you are** and **preserving** products of digital astronomy.

### 4. “comprehensive”

The VO intends to allow access to basically all astronomical data, present and past.

Right now: About 20000 “resources” like

- VizieR catalogs
- Lots of space missions
- Many observatory collections
- Theory data like synthetic spectra
- Much more

But there's still a bit missing.

VO jargon: A “resource” essentially is “something that has metadata”. Some of these the VO uses internally, but most of them really are what the next slide discusses as “data and services”.



Fig. 6

### 5. Data and Services

While the VO is about data, much of it is concerned with services.

A service is

- a piece of software accessible via a network
- with a well-defined interface
- allowing access to some data collection.

Important: Service users (“clients”) must be able to figure out how to operate the service and find out as much as possible about the data contained.

### 6. Astronomy

Well, of course...

(cf. Fig. 6)

...but other fields have similar endeavours, and they're using similar technology (OAI-PMH, SQL...).

### 7. Clients and Choice

“Web pages” aren't really what the VO is about. It is about standard interfaces to data.

This means: A single programme (possibly web-based) can operate all kinds of archives and services. Many such programmes are listed at <http://ivoa.net>.

It also means: A given service can be operated by any client speaking the VO languages – you get to choose or use libraries like pyVO in your own programmes.

## 8. The big equalizer

It used to be that you had to go to the big observatories to get top-notch data.

Converseley, chances someone would see and use your data if you weren't there weren't terribly good.

The VO already delivers excellent data to anywhere and anyone in the world.

And with All-VO searches and increased adoption of Registry use, everyone gets a more uniform view of the data taken.

## 9. Preservation

We're currently losing historical observations at an unprecedented rate: All the tapes from the 80ies and 90ies are deteriorating.

Linus Torvalds:

Only wimps use tape backup: real men just upload their important stuff on ftp, and let the rest of the world mirror it.

If data is to survive, it must be in living services not far from spinning disks.

(Yes, there's more to it, but the living part is vital)

## 10. VO Reality

To make this nice "comprehensive set" useful, it must let you

- Find data relevant for your research,
- Get it, and
- Use it

Compare to literature: **Find** a paper on ADS, **get it** using a web browser ("client") from a publisher's web page (or, if you're lucky, from ADS itself), **use it** in your PDF reader. VO jargon: A dataset is understood to be an "individual data item with included metadata", which could be a table, a spectrum, an image, a data cube, or yet something else. Since a set of such things needs a name, too, and dataset is not available, we call that a data collection.

Also, maybe the word "metadata" deserves a brief comment: Metadata is "data on data". For an image, that could be "When was it taken?", "What filter was used?", "Where does it point?", "What does it show?", etc.

## 11. The VO way

In theory, all those data collections could reside in one, professionally managed place.

This would be like ADS; the publishers deliver their data, and the ADS staff unifies and "curates" this.

In reality, such a place doesn't exist. Although for tabular data, VizieR comes pretty close.

**The VO way:** Let there be many data centers, but have them speak common languages ("protocols") and make it so their metadata can be collected and interpreted by machines.

This is a bit like the Web, where there's lots and lots of web servers, but google's robots can harvest what's on them and provide an index (only there's more webservers and far less structure in the Web).

## 12. Finding Services

The union of the metadata of all the data centers in the VO is called the **registry**. There, users can issue queries like:

- Where are image services specialized on radio?
- What data sets are out there containing x-ray fluxes and proper motions?
- What services are out there dealing with time standards?
- What services expose the data associated to a paper?

Clients: WIRR<sup>1</sup>, VO Desktop<sup>2</sup>, In-Application interfaces.

You can also query the registry using the TAP/ADQL clients mentioned below using the TAP access URL <http://dc.g-vo.org/tap>. If I (as the author of the respective standards) may say so, this is probably the way to go if you're planning advanced stuff with the registry.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://dc.g-vo.org>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.astrogrid.org/wiki/Install/Downloads>

## 13. Finding Data Sets

The VO has defined “typed interfaces” that let you talk to all services in the same fashion. “Typed” means literally types of data. There is, for example, “Simple Cone Search” (SCS) for tables with sky positions in them, the “Simple Image Access Protocol” (SIAP) dealing with images of the sky, and “Simple Spectral Access Protocol” (SSAP) for accessing spectra.

The common language lets programmes query many servers at one click. So, you can ask questions like:

- Find all images containing NGC3141
- Are there infrared spectra of a source at 271.8281, +23.42?
- What is known about sources within 2 arcminutes of Geminga?

Clients: TOPCAT<sup>3</sup> for tables, Aladin<sup>4</sup> for images, Splat<sup>5</sup> for spectra, and more.

Upcoming, there’s ObsTAP that lets you post even more expressive queries against database tables.

These protocols also usually say how you can get the data once you have located it. There is work in progress on server-side manipulations, though (cutouts, cube cuts, etc) – but standards for that are hard.

## 14. Image Search in Aladin

(cf. Fig. 7)

This is a screen shot from Aladin 10, where I’ve discovered some historical plates from various image services. Note that on the sidebar on the left, the “resources” come from all kinds of different publishers. Aladin has just asked the Registry here.




Fig. 7

## 15. Using Data

The VO uses existing data formats where they are appropriate (e.g., FITS for images). Where they aren’t it uses its own: **VOTable**, containing rich metadata. This saves you from having to write code every time you want to use a new data source.

And it comes with descriptions, units, UCDS, and more. UCDS are a VO thing, too: spelled out, it’s unified content descriptors. They are short strings that say what kind of physics a column represents: pos.eq.ra is a right ascension, phot.mag;em.opt.V is a visual magnitude, etc.

The VO also defines data models (e.g., for spectra) that say what metadata items are necessary for a useful description.

Clients: TOPCAT<sup>6</sup> and STILTS<sup>7</sup> for generic VOTables; the clients for typed interfaces also consume VOTables. See also Astropy and many other libraries

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/>

<sup>4</sup> <http://aladin.u-strasbg.fr/aladin.gml>

<sup>5</sup> <http://star-www.dur.ac.uk/~pdraper/splat/splat-vo/>

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/>

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.star.bristol.ac.uk/~mbt/stilts/>

## 16. Using Data Remotely

Some modern data collections are too large to move – smarts must come to the data.

**ADQL** lets you write simple programmes, **TAP** lets you run them on remote servers, upload your tables, and retrieve the results.

If you know CASJobs: about the same thing, only with a solid standard and supported by more services.

Clients: TOPCAT<sup>8</sup> and STILTS<sup>9</sup>, tapsh<sup>10</sup>, seleste<sup>11</sup>, TAPHandle<sup>12</sup>

Learn it: ADQL course<sup>13</sup>

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/>

<sup>9</sup> <http://www.star.bristol.ac.uk/~mbt/stilts/>

<sup>10</sup> <http://vo.ari.uni-heidelberg.de/soft/tapsh>

<sup>11</sup> <http://neo.cfa.harvard.edu/seleste/>

<sup>12</sup> <http://saada.unistra.fr/taphandle>

<sup>13</sup> <http://docs.g-vo.org/adql>



## 19. Your Contribution

Do you have data that others could re-use? No? You're sure?

## 20. Common Excuses

Shamelessly stolen from <http://datapub.cdlib.org/closed-data-excuses-excuses/><sup>14</sup>

- People will contact me to ask about stuff – well, science is about exchange, and you'll usually notice that most of those questions are actually quite clever, so answering them is a good use of your time.
- People will misinterpret the data – good documentation and standards mitigate this. The rest is just as with publishing prose, isn't it?
- My data is not very interesting – leave that decision to others. You'd be surprised how much „boring data“ people click-and-type from printed graphs and tables each week.
- I might want to use it in a research paper – well, if you've not done so so far, will you? When? Too much data is gathering dust, waiting for the „real soon now“. Be fair to the world and publish, if need be with an embargo.
- I'm not sure I own the data – that sucks. The original source has some advice for you.
- My data is too complicated – if it's too complicated to explain: are you sure you've understood it yourself? Try explaining anyway, you won't regret it.
- My data is embarrassingly bad – everyone's is. Good data is just bad data that more eyes have seen and more hands have improved.
- It's not a priority and I'm busy – ah-ha! Here we're coming to a real kicker. Rewarding data publishing is something we're working on (e.g., the Thomson Reuters has started a data citation index). Then again, publishing doesn't need to be so terribly painful . .

## 21. Data Publishing

There is nothing like Journals for publishing data yet (though Vizier comes close for tables).

See: <http://ivoa.net>, “Publishing in the VO“ – either:

- Ask a data center (Vizier, us, . . .) to do it for you, or
- Use a publishing toolkit on your own machine, or
- Write your own software using libraries

## 22. And now: Hands-on

Choose a use case from

<http://g-vo.org/byu>

Rules:

- Shout if you get stuck
- Use own data where appropriate
- If bored, try <http://g-vo.org/puzzlerweb>

<sup>14</sup> <http://datapub.cdlib.org/closed-data-excuses-excuses/>